COURTS IN COCONINO COUNTY INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY STRATEGIC PLAN 2013-2015 SUMMARY

LOCAL INITIATIVES, DRIVERS, AND PRESSURES

- Expand services provided via courts' web presence
- Increase technology usage in the courtroom.
- Increase inter-agency data exchanges in support of expanded county justice integration.
- Implement EDMS and electronic citations in limited jurisdiction court environment.
- Prepare for participation in statewide projects including limited jurisdiction CMS, e-filing, and remote court reporting.
- Increase use of videoconferencing, remote appearances, and remote court reporting as well as digital video recording for security purposes.
- Expand online payment processing to more courts in county.

CY 2010/11 ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Implemented electronic transfer of record on appeal.
- Completed conversion of microfiche format records to digital images.
- Upgraded OnBase EDMS and synchronized document security with AJACS.
- Began digitizing new juror supplemental questionnaires.
- Began accepting online payments in two justice courts.
- Successfully tested remote court reporter technical solution.

Statewide Projects: Impacts, Concerns, and Participation Plans

LJ CMS/Bench Auto Desire integration for citations and dispositions; judges desire OnBase and CMS

access on bench; will be early adopters.

JOLTSaz/AZYAS Desire full integration with AJACS; will be a mid-cycle adopter.

LJ EDMS Some courts already using; will be mid-cycle adopters.

e-Filing/Std Forms Anxious to improve customer service and business process flow; will be mid-

cycle adopter.

Architecture/Security Don't perform local development apart from OnBase workflow; a few items are in

containment status but plan exists to address.

TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS									
Project	Year/	Project Detail Provided			Comments				
Project	Status	Full ¹	Skeletal ²	Mention ³	Comments				
Web Page Expansion	FY15		Х		Includes forms standardization and self- help materials				
Self-Help Videos	FY15		Х		Physical media and Internet				
Electronic Data Transfers (CJI)	FY13		Х		All courts in county				
Explore e-Court and e-Filing	FY13		Х		Exploring workflow				
Courtroom Presentation Systems	FY13		Х		Superior court; Williams				
Field Electronic Citations	FY13		Х		Flagstaff Muni; local JCEF				
Replace Aging Videoconference Systems	FY13		Х		All courts				
Call-Out System	FY14		X		Flagstaff; reduces FTAs				
Electronic Calendar Display	FY13		Х		Flagstaff Muni				
Video Surveillance	FY13		Х		Flagstaff Muni				
Update COOP and ERP	FY13		Х		Flagstaff Muni				
Page Courthouse Expansion	FY13		Х		Page courts				
Move to SSRS	FY14		Х		Architecture project				
Disconnected Scanning	FY15		Х		All Justice Courts				
New City Court Facility	>FY16		Х		Flagstaff Muni				

TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS									
Project	Year/ Status	Project Detail Provided			Comments				
		Full ¹	Skeletal ²	Mention ³	Comments				
LJ CMS	FY15		Х		All Limited Jurisdiction Courts				
AZTraCS	FY13		Х		All Justice Courts				

Note 1:

An "X" in "Full" indicates that the court has provided full detailed information about the project according to the general parameters outlined in the Commission on Technology's Project Management Methodology. Also, risk analysis, impact, project costs and funding information has been provided.

Note 2:

An "X" in "Skeletal" indicates that the court provided detail about the local project in the master projects listing spreadsheet. Complete information, usually risks, impact analysis, project costs and funding, was not provided.

Note 3:

An "X" in "Mention" indicates that the court mentioned this project in a summary or listed it in an initiative. It may have been a phrase or a full paragraph of description, but did not contain detailed project-oriented information. If these projects are related to pursuing standards or directions already adopted (e.g., OnBase EDMS implementation, Jury+ upgrade, digital audio in the courtroom), then any mention which includes appropriate funding information is sufficient.